

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,554	02/06/2001	Kevin P. Cusack	BBI-6077CP	8200
959 7:	590 08/22/2003			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD 28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			EXAMINER	
			GERSTL, ROBERT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
		DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/777,554	CUSACK ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Gerstl	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to an places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the con	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 59 and 60.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>58</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∐ approved or b)∐ disappı	roved by the Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>				
0. Other:		Robert Gerett Pamary Examiner Art Unit: 1626				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the generic concept and the adjacent compounds render the claimed compounds obvious.